IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 3320 of 2004

Between:

P.Rajaratnam, S/o P.Benjimen, aged 50 years,
R/o D.No.2/103, Maruthi Nagar, Cuddapah Town.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Cuddapah, Cuddapah District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Cuddapah, Cuddapah District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Chennur (Mandal), Cuddapah District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not disposing of the application made by the petitioner for issuing of patta so made in Sy.No.17 an extent of Ac.1-98 cents and Sy.No.18 an extent of Ac.2-12 cents situated at Chinna Machupalli Village of Rudrabharathipeta, Chennur Mandal, Cuddapah District which is illegal and arbitrary and un-constitutional and consequently direct the respondents to dispose of the application made by the petitioner for the grant of patta.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.K. SUDHARSAN REDDY, Advocate

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

ORDER:

-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue and at their request, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage.

In this writ petition the petitioner, in effect, seek a direction to the third respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, Chennur Mandal to grant assignment of patta land in his favour.

The petitioner claim to be Ex-serviceman and that on 6-3-2003 he submitted an application for assignment of Ac.1-98 cents in survey No.17 and Ac.2.12 cents in Survey No.18 of Chinnamasupalli village, Rudrabharathipet, Chennur Mandal of Kadapa District, in his favour, to the first respondent-District Collector, Kadapa and to the second respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, Kadapa, and both the first and second respondents, in turn forwarded the same to the third respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, Chennur Mandal for consideration and necessary action. The grievance of the petitioner is that the third respondent has not taken any action on his application. Hence, the writ petition.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the fact that the application submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration with the third respondent, I deem it appropriate to direct the third respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, Chennur Mandal, Kadapa District to consider and dispose of the application of the petitioner, dated 6-3-2003, expeditiously by passing appropriate speaking orders thereon, if not already disposed of.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

July 8, 2004.

YS

To

1 The District Collector, Cuddapah, Cuddapah District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Cuddapah, Cuddapah District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Chennur (Mandal), Cuddapah District.

4 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (O.u.T)

5 Two CD copies.